WEBVTT

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.148 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.800132082727273

 $00{:}02.148 --> 00{:}00{:}04.180$ provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81743859125

 $00:00:06.190 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.092$ Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81743859125

00:00:08.092 --> 00:00:10.035 your host, doctor Anees Chappar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81743859125

 $00{:}00{:}10.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11.775$ Yale Cancer Answers features

NOTE Confidence: 0.81743859125

00:00:11.775 --> 00:00:13.898 the latest information on cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.81743859125

00:00:13.898 --> 00:00:15.778 care by welcoming oncologists and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81743859125

 $00:00:15.778 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.898$ specialists who are in the forefront

NOTE Confidence: 0.81743859125

00:00:17.898 --> 00:00:19.764 of the battle to fight cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81743859125

 $00{:}00{:}19.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.122$ This week it's a conversation about

NOTE Confidence: 0.81743859125

 $00:00:22.122 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.110$ innovations in the diagnosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81743859125

 $00{:}00{:}24.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.885$ lung cancer with Doctor Sanket

NOTE Confidence: 0.81743859125

00:00:25.885 --> 00:00:27.305 Thakore and Kyle Bramley.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81743859125

 $00:00:27.310 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.925$ Doctor Bramley is an assistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.81743859125

 $00{:}00{:}28.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.540$ professor of medicine and Doctor

 $00:00:30.599 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.321$ Thakur as an instructor of medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.81743859125

 $00{:}00{:}32.321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.901$ in the Department of Interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.81743859125

 $00:00:33.901 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.505$ Pulmonology at the Yale School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81743859125

00:00:36.510 --> 00:00:38.295 where Doctor Chagpar is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81743859125

 $00{:}00{:}38.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39.723$ professor of surgical oncology.

 $00:00:42.484 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.214$ Kyle, I'm gonna start with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776209578888889

 $00:00:44.220 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.858$ Maybe both of you can tell us

NOTE Confidence: 0.776209578888889

 $00:00:45.858 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.166$ a little bit about yourselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.776209578888889

 $00:00:47.166 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.106$ and what it is you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776209578888889

 $00:00:50.390 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.615$ Sure, I'm an interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.828342046

 $00{:}00{:}52.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.840$ pulmonologist and critical care doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828342046

 $00:00:54.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.430$ I often struggle to

NOTE Confidence: 0.828342046

 $00:00:56.430 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.702$ describe what that means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828342046

 $00{:}00{:}57.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59.100$ And so I'm a pulmonologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828342046

 $00{:}00{:}59.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01.530$ a lung doctor who specializes

NOTE Confidence: 0.828342046

00:01:01.530 --> 00:01:03.474 in minimally invasive procedures

 $00:01:03.474 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.780$ to diagnose cancers and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.828342046

 $00:01:05.780 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.300$ lesions inside the chest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754885724285714

00:01:08.600 --> 00:01:11.407 And Sanket, how about you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80353552

 $00:01:12.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.499$ I do very similar to what

NOTE Confidence: 0.80353552

 $00:01:15.499 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.510$ Kyle just described as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80353552

 $00:01:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.010$ I'm also an interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.80353552

 $00:01:19.010 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.210$ pulmonologist and I'm also

NOTE Confidence: 0.80353552

 $00:01:20.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.649$ a critical care doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80353552

 $00{:}01{:}21.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}24.737$ So we commonly take care of a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.80353552

 $00:01:24.737 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.260$ of patients with lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878379880416667

 $00:01:27.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.714$ So you know it is lung Cancer Awareness

NOTE Confidence: 0.878379880416667

 $00:01:30.714 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.280$ Month and I think a lot of people know

NOTE Confidence: 0.878379880416667

 $00:01:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.107$ that lung cancer is a deadly cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878379880416667

 $00:01:37.110 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.342$ But what people may not know is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878379880416667

 $00:01:40.342 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.088$ we actually have decent screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.878379880416667

 $00:01:43.090 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.314$ for lung cancer.

 $00:01:44.314 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.898$ And we know a little bit about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878379880416667

 $00:01:46.898 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.278$ risk factors that put people at risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878379880416667

 $00:01:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.582$ One of the ones that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.878379880416667

00:01:50.582 --> 00:01:51.910 often talk about is smoking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878379880416667

00:01:51.910 --> 00:01:54.367 But Kyle, do you want to tell us a

NOTE Confidence: 0.878379880416667

 $00:01:54.367 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.604$ little bit about what's available in

NOTE Confidence: 0.878379880416667

 $00:01:56.604 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.040$ terms of screening for lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878379880416667

00:01:59.040 --> 00:02:02.784 who's eligible for it and why it's important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

00:02:02.840 --> 00:02:04.766 Sure. So as you said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

 $00:02:04.770 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.660$ lung cancer screening is very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

 $00:02:06.660 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.816$ And recently we have some very good

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

 $00:02:08.816 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.673$ data that suggests that people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

 $00{:}02{:}10.673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12.710$ are at an increased risk of getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

 $00{:}02{:}12.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.709$ lung cancer during their lifetime

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

 $00{:}02{:}14.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}16.761$ can be screened with a CAT scan

 $00:02:16.761 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.056$ and so patients who are eligible are

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

00:02:19.056 --> 00:02:21.078 patients who've had a long smoking

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

 $00:02:21.144 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.328$ history and are over the age of 55.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

 $00:02:23.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.654$ The way that the screening generally works

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

 $00:02:25.654 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.810$ is you have a meeting with a provider to

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

00:02:27.810 --> 00:02:30.088 talk about what your risk factors are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

 $00:02:30.088 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.199$ to talk about what the screening may show,

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

 $00{:}02{:}33.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.470$ and then receive an annual CAT scan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

 $00:02:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.107$ So it's a low dose of radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

00:02:40.107 --> 00:02:42.231 cat scan that's performed yearly

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

 $00{:}02{:}42.231 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}45.502$ for three years with the idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

00:02:45.502 --> 00:02:47.832 looking for lung cancers when they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.636818450666667

 $00:02:47.832 \dashrightarrow 00:02:49.860$ still small and more easily treatable.

 $00:02:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.380$ Sanket, just to follow up on what Kyle said,

 $00{:}02{:}59.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.755$ how much of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.825053569454545

00:03:02.760 --> 00:03:04.419 smoking history do you need

NOTE Confidence: 0.825053569454545

 $00:03:04.419 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.351$ to have, like if you've smoked one

 $00:03:06.351 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.091$ cigarette in your entire life does

NOTE Confidence: 0.825053569454545

 $00:03:08.149 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.093$ that count or do you need to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.825053569454545

 $00:03:10.093 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.516$ smoked every day for 50 years?

NOTE Confidence: 0.825053569454545

 $00:03:12.516 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.220$ How does that work and

NOTE Confidence: 0.825053569454545

 $00:03:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.534$ my second question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825053569454545

 $00:03:16.534 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.780$ why is it annual just for three years?

NOTE Confidence: 0.825053569454545

00:03:20.780 --> 00:03:23.404 So you have your screening for three years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825053569454545

 $00{:}03{:}23.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27.676$ but could you not get a lung cancer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.825053569454545

00:03:27.676 --> 00:03:30.526 year 4, 5, 6 if you continue to smoke?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75217627

 $00{:}03{:}30.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34.288$ So let's tackle the first question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75217627

00:03:34.290 --> 00:03:38.142 You're asking how long smoking

NOTE Confidence: 0.75217627

 $00:03:38.142 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.464$ history is indicated, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75217627

 $00:03:40.464 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.434$ And we generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.75217627

00:03:42.434 --> 00:03:43.566 describe smoking history

NOTE Confidence: 0.75217627

00:03:43.566 --> 00:03:46.510 by pack years smoking history.

 $00:03:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.144$ So according to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75217627

00:03:49.144 --> 00:03:50.900 lung cancer screening guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75217627

 $00:03:50.900 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.714$ we look for at least 20 pack

NOTE Confidence: 0.75217627

 $00:03:53.714 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.730$ years of smoking history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75217627

 $00:03:55.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.250$ What that means is that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.75217627

00:03:58.250 --> 00:04:00.412 somebody smokes about a pack

NOTE Confidence: 0.75217627

 $00:04:00.412 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.788$ a day for straight 20 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75217627

00:04:02.790 --> 00:04:05.898 they would qualify for lung cancer screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891370380454546

 $00{:}04{:}05.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}08.204$ Or similarly if they smoked half

NOTE Confidence: 0.891370380454546

00:04:08.204 --> 00:04:10.917 a pack a day for 40 years or two

NOTE Confidence: 0.891370380454546

 $00:04:10.917 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.200$ packs a day for 10 years, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73797858

00:04:13.210 --> 00:04:16.290 That is correct. So accumulatively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73797858

 $00:04:16.290 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.400$ it has to be 20 packs a year for smoking

NOTE Confidence: 0.73797858

 $00:04:19.486 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.060$ history, and they would qualify for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884617257368421

 $00:04:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.162$ OK. And Kyle, maybe you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.884617257368421

 $00:04:24.162 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.408$ pick up on the question of why

00:04:26.408 --> 00:04:28.460 is it annual for three years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884617257368421

 $00:04:28.460 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.300$ what happens after year three?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090118296

 $00:04:30.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.641$ The biggest part of that I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090118296

 $00:04:31.641 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.150$ say is just that that's what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090118296

 $00{:}04{:}33.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.524$ research has shown and that's what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090118296

00:04:34.567 --> 00:04:35.897 the research projects have done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090118296

00:04:35.900 --> 00:04:37.828 I think a lot of us would continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090118296

 $00:04:37.828 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.411$ to advocate for ongoing screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090118296

00:04:39.411 --> 00:04:41.493 through the course of the lifetime

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090118296

00:04:41.493 --> 00:04:43.100 depending on risk factors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090118296

 $00:04:43.100 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.308$ their other health issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755634393

 $00:04:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.504$ Sanket, when we talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.755634393

 $00{:}04{:}47.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}49.514$ about screening, of tentimes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.755634393

 $00:04:49.514 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.649$ whole idea behind screening is

NOTE Confidence: 0.755634393

 $00:04:52.650 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.280$ to pick up these cancers

 $00:04:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.384$ before they are symptomatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755634393

 $00:04:57.390 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.495$ Oftentimes this is when these

NOTE Confidence: 0.755634393

00:04:59.495 --> 00:05:01.600 cancers are really small and

NOTE Confidence: 0.755634393

 $00:05:01.672 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.848$ presumably the most treatable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755634393

 $00:05:03.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.915$ We know, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.755634393

00:05:04.915 --> 00:05:07.774 that lung cancer is the leading cause of

NOTE Confidence: 0.755634393

 $00:05:07.774 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.501$ death both in men and women in this country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755634393

00:05:10.510 --> 00:05:12.290 So does screening really work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.755634393

 $00{:}05{:}12.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.474$ I mean, are we picking up lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.755634393

 $00:05:14.474 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.970$ cancers when they're smaller?

NOTE Confidence: 0.755634393

 $00:05:15.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.011$ And if so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.755634393

00:05:17.011 --> 00:05:19.093 is there really good treatment for

NOTE Confidence: 0.755634393

 $00:05:19.093 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.176$ lung cancer when they're small

NOTE Confidence: 0.755634393

 $00{:}05{:}21.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23.672$ such that we can actually improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.755634393

 $00:05:23.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.700$ survival rates?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00{:}05{:}26.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29.350$ Do think that there is enough data to

 $00:05:29.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.561$ suggest that everyone who qualifies

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00{:}05{:}31.561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.739$ for the lung cancer screening and if

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00:05:34.822 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.783$ they do not have any other medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00:05:37.783 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.242$ problem that's going to kill them

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00:05:40.242 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.606$ so oner than that lung cancer itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

00:05:42.610 --> 00:05:44.822 then it is highly recommended that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00:05:44.822 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.668$ do get the yearly lung cancer screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00{:}05{:}47.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.589$ Because if we catch it early, there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00:05:50.589 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.688$ definitive therapy like a surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00:05:52.688 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.010$ therapy when they can just

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00:05:55.010 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.110$ go in and take it out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00:05:57.110 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.420$ Part of the lung out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00{:}05{:}58.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.233$ I will also add to that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00:06:00.233 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.918$ we often think about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00:06:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.866$ do we need to make this lung

00:06:03.866 --> 00:06:04.700 cancer screening change?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00{:}06{:}04.700 --> 00{:}06{:}06.720$ Do we need to change criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

00:06:06.720 --> 00:06:09.776 do we need to make any fancy screening?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00:06:09.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.930$ That's not the point here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00:06:12.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.289$ The point really being is that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

00:06:15.289 --> 00:06:17.498 have good lung cancer screening and

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00{:}06{:}17.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}20.109$ let's see if we can get everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00:06:20.181 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.797$ who qualifies for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00:06:21.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.580$ Can we get them do the lung cancer screening?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00:06:25.580 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.260$ That's where the key is because

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00{:}06{:}27.260 \longrightarrow 00{:}06{:}29.969$ if you look now at all the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

00:06:29.969 --> 00:06:33.148 who qualify for the lung cancer screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

00:06:33.150 --> 00:06:35.718 even after having the lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00:06:35.718 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.333$ screening for close to 7-8 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00{:}06{:}38.333 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40.805$ now a very small percentage of those

 $00:06:43.044 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.702$ will get the lung cancer screening and

 $00:06:45.702 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.246$ this is where we can get the

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00{:}06{:}49.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.326$ biggest advantage, if we can get

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00:06:51.326 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.508$ all those patients to come and

NOTE Confidence: 0.914463532666666

 $00:06:53.508 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.328$ get the lung cancer screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919166617666667

 $00:06:55.420 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.373$ I mean it certainly sounds like

NOTE Confidence: 0.919166617666667

 $00:06:57.373 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.415$ it would be something that people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.919166617666667

00:06:59.415 --> 00:07:02.181 have more than a 20 pack year history of

NOTE Confidence: 0.919166617666667

00:07:02.181 --> 00:07:04.498 smoking should talk to their doctor about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919166617666667

 $00{:}07{:}04.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}06.714$ especially if they can find these

NOTE Confidence: 0.919166617666667

 $00:07:06.714 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.273$ cancers at an earlier stage and

NOTE Confidence: 0.919166617666667

 $00:07:09.273 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.289$ potentially improve their outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919166617666667

 $00:07:11.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.840$ So that really brings us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919166617666667

00:07:13.840 --> 00:07:16.458 Kyle to the next question which is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919166617666667

 $00:07:16.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.256$ what happens next for a patient?

 $00{:}07{:}20.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22.390$ Let's suppose that some body who is

NOTE Confidence: 0.919166617666667

 $00:07:22.458 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.486$ listening to our show today listens

 $00:07:24.486 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.801$ to what Sanket says and goes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.919166617666667

 $00:07:26.801 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.005$ talks to their doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919166617666667

00:07:28.010 --> 00:07:31.066 They get their low dose CT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919166617666667

 $00:07:31.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.858$ and lo and behold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919166617666667

 $00:07:32.858 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.646$ there's a lesion found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919166617666667

 $00:07:34.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.769$ What happens then?

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:07:36.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.880$ So that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:07:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.470$ So it's always important to remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:07:39.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.830$ that a lot of the lesions that we find

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:07:41.830 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.819$ on these scans may not be cancerous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:07:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.081$ And so it's very important to meet

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

00:07:46.081 --> 00:07:48.338 with someone who has an expertise in

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:07:48.338 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.825$ this area to talk about what the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:07:50.825 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.897$ of that lesion being a cancer is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:07:52.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.168$ In some patients there may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00{:}07{:}55.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}56.701$ some characteristics on the

 $00:07:56.701 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.451$ scan that make us think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:07:58.451 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.328$ it's actually not a cancer and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:08:00.328 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.248$ may elect to just watch those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:08:02.248 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.286$ Over time, things like a small size

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:08:05.286 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.653$ or a location may be suggestive

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:08:07.653 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.308$ and some of those patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:08:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.675$ those nodules are going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:08:10.675 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.767$ be concerning for cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:08:11.770 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.137$ And so additional workup will be necessary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:08:15.140 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.598$ And a lot of those cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00{:}08{:}16.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18.800$ the patients may end up getting a biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:08:18.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.208$ which can be done a variety of

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00{:}08{:}21.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.383$ different ways where we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:08:22.383 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.740$ go in and get a piece of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:08:23.740 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.180$ tissue to get a sample and then the

00:08:26.180 --> 00:08:27.886 pathologists will look at it under

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00{:}08{:}27.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29.704$ a microscope and be able to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.770229162

 $00:08:29.704 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.510$ us exactly what we're dealing with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875262345

 $00:08:32.330 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.970$ And so Sanket, do you want to walk

NOTE Confidence: 0.875262345

 $00:08:34.970 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.242$ us through some of the ways in

NOTE Confidence: 0.875262345

 $00:08:37.242 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.290$ which biopsies are done these days?

NOTE Confidence: 0.875262345

 $00:08:39.290 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.650$ I mean I would presume that many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.875262345

 $00:08:41.650 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.203$ them are are done simply with a needle

NOTE Confidence: 0.875262345

00:08:44.203 --> 00:08:45.980 and the CAT scan, is that right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

00:08:47.150 --> 00:08:49.582 Correct, but can I also add one

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:08:49.582 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.790$ thing, before we go to biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:08:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.596$ when we look at the nodule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:08:53.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.755$ we generally like to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

00:08:54.755 --> 00:08:55.716 about three things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:08:55.716 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.046$ Whether that could be really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:08:57.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.450$ really low risk or that could be really,

 $00{:}08{:}59.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01.030$ really high risk or that

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:09:01.030 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.610$ could be somewhere in between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:09:02.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.714$ So the very, very low risk are simple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

00:09:04.720 --> 00:09:06.687 We're just going to repeat a CT

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00{:}09{:}06.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08.986$ scan at a future time and see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:09:08.986 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.562$ nodule, what's its behavior, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:09:10.562 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.248$ On the flip side, the very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

00:09:13.248 --> 00:09:15.443 very high risk are many

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:09:15.443 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.760$ times also straightforward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:09:16.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.360$ Sometimes we also choose

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

00:09:18.360 --> 00:09:19.672 hey, you know what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

00:09:19.672 --> 00:09:21.640 here biopsy is not even needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00{:}09{:}21.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}23.488$ So surgeons might just decide that

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:09:23.488 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.022$ this is such a high risk that even

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:09:26.022 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.380$ the biopsy doesn't give us an answer.

 $00:09:29.192 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.918$ I'm not going to be able to have

 $00:09:30.918 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.298$ a good night's sleep with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:09:32.300 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.100$ So in that case is what we surgeons

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:09:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.603$ decide that we are just

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:09:35.603 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.392$ going to go and take it out and

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

00:09:37.392 --> 00:09:38.617 that's a very common approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

00:09:38.620 --> 00:09:41.260 It's important for patients to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:09:41.260 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.513$ that then the challenging patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

00:09:43.513 --> 00:09:46.755 populations are the one we just follow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:09:46.755 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.425$ In between,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:09:47.425 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.430$ to be honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:09:48.430 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.866$ most of them fit right in

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:09:50.866 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.024$ between though and that's the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.829270602

 $00:09:53.024 \dashrightarrow 00:09:55.940$ that we think about the biopsy part of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378353824

00:09:56.070 --> 00:09:59.283 And so Kyle, one would think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378353824

 $00:09:59.283 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.124$ the decision between which way to

00:10:02.124 --> 00:10:04.878 biopsy this nodule really might depend

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378353824

 $00{:}10{:}04.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07.889$ on where exactly the nodule was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378353824

 $00:10:07.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.158$ I mean is this something that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378353824

 $00:10:10.158 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.157$ amenable to a needle biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378353824

 $00:10:12.157 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.641$ under CT guidance or whether it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378353824

 $00:10:14.641 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.050$ more amenable to a bronchoscopy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8378353824

00:10:17.050 --> 00:10:19.430 Guided biopsy, is that right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.887132876

 $00:10:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.195$ Yeah. It really does depend a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.887132876

 $00:10:22.195 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.927$ on the location and where it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.887132876

00:10:23.927 --> 00:10:25.679 located relative to the airways and

NOTE Confidence: 0.887132876

 $00{:}10{:}25.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27.658$ the other structures in the chest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887132876

 $00:10:27.660 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.459$ And so nodules that are further out

NOTE Confidence: 0.887132876

00:10:29.459 --> 00:10:30.860 into the periphery of the lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887132876

 $00:10:30.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.463$ closer to the chest wall are usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.887132876

00:10:32.463 --> 00:10:34.194 more amenable to a CT guided approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.887132876

 $00:10:34.194 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.444$ or even possibly an ultrasound

00:10:35.444 --> 00:10:36.573 guided approach if it's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.887132876

 $00:10:36.573 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.166$ right at the edge of the lung.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887132876

00:10:38.166 --> 00:10:40.242 Whereas things that are more centrally

NOTE Confidence: 0.887132876

00:10:40.242 --> 00:10:41.878 located and especially if they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.887132876

 $00:10:41.878 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.761$ located in closer proximity to one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.887132876

00:10:43.761 --> 00:10:45.758 the larger airways or branches of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.887132876

00:10:45.758 --> 00:10:47.594 windpipe that go out into the lungs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887132876

 $00:10:47.594 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.260$ we often think about taking a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.887132876

 $00{:}10{:}49.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.796$ bronchoscopic approach because we'll be

NOTE Confidence: 0.887132876

 $00:10:50.796 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.279$ able to sample it with a higher efficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57144976

00:10:53.950 --> 00:10:58.684 And so you know Sanket, when we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.57144976

 $00:10:58.684 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.774$ about these different techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.57144976

 $00{:}11{:}00.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04.158$ are there risks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.57144976

00:11:04.158 --> 00:11:06.301 benefits associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.57144976

 $00:11:06.301 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.807$ these and

 $00{:}11{:}08.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}10.938$ can you talk a little bit more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.57144976

 $00{:}11{:}10.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}13.509$ what do you talk to patients about

NOTE Confidence: 0.57144976

 $00:11:13.509 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.479$ when they're undergoing these biopsies

NOTE Confidence: 0.57144976

00:11:15.540 --> 00:11:17.418 in terms of risks and benefits?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:11:18.030 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.578$ Yeah. And and there are two

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

00:11:19.578 --> 00:11:20.940 ways to think about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:11:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.160$ One would be overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:11:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.488$ in terms of in general how are we

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00{:}11{:}25.488 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}11{:}27.692$ going to find these overall not

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

00:11:27.692 --> 00:11:29.632 just diagnosis but the staging

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00{:}11{:}29.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.127$ as well and how are we going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:11:32.127 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.065$ soon get them to the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:11:34.065 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.840$ because that's the ultimate goal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:11:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.730$ And then also we have to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

00:11:37.730 --> 00:11:39.614 about risk and benefit of that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

00:11:39.614 --> 00:11:41.587 then on the day of the procedure

 $00:11:41.587 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.963$ and risk and benefit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:11:43.963 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.151$ those individual procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

00:11:45.160 --> 00:11:47.440 So when you do a CT guided biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909 00:11:47.440 --> 00:11:48.156 the risk NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:11:48.156 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.304$ that we think about the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

00:11:50.304 --> 00:11:52.442 common one would be lung collapse

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:11:52.442 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.097$ and that risk can be as high as

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

00:11:55.097 --> 00:11:57.351 about 20 to 30% depending on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00{:}11{:}57.351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}59.236$ literature that you're looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00{:}11{:}59.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01.688$ And there is a fair number of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

00:12:01.688 --> 00:12:03.896 patients that end up having a chest tube

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:12:03.896 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.223$ which is a treatment of those lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00{:}12{:}06.223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.533$ collapse and a fair number of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:12:08.601 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.073$ spaces and might end up spending a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:12:11.073 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.100$ days in the hospital because of that.

 $00:12:14.100 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.242$ And then the other risk factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:12:16.242 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.070$ would be bleeding from that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:12:18.070 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.939$ And the same way when we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:12:19.939 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.630$ those with the bronchoscopy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:12:21.630 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.230$ then the risk of lung cholestatic is

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:12:25.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.960$ significantly low under 2% and

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:12:27.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.940$ then there is risk of bleeding

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:12:29.940 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.166$ as well which is under 1 to 2%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:12:32.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.670$ And these are the most common risks

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:12:33.670 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.910$ that risk we think about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00{:}12{:}35.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}37.774$ but at the same time we also think

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:12:37.774 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.309$ about the diagnostic success,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:12:39.830 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.470$ because there is always a benefit versus risk ratio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:12:43.470 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.850$ So when you think about the CT

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:12:45.850 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.467$ guided biopsy as of today what we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00{:}12{:}48.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}51.354$ is that on an average the success

 $00:12:51.354 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.284$ is around 85 to 90%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00{:}12{:}53.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}56.570$ that depends on the size of the nodule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:12:56.570 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.022$ characteristic of the nodule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

00:12:58.022 --> 00:12:59.837 And on the flip side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:12:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.737$ when we go with the bronchoscope, as of now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:13:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.244$ the diagnostic success is

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00:13:05.244 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.770$ somewhere in a range of around 70%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00{:}13{:}07.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}09.660$ So there is a trade off there

NOTE Confidence: 0.885232129090909

 $00{:}13{:}09.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11.550$ when you think about an approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877079968461538

 $00:13:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.024$ We're going to take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.877079968461538

 $00:13:14.024 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.158$ short break for a medical minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877079968461538

 $00:13:16.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.720$ When we come back, we'll learn more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.877079968461538

 $00{:}13{:}18.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}21.330$ lung cancer diagnosis with my guests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877079968461538

 $00:13:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.940$ Drs. Sanket Thakore and Kyle Bramley.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00:13:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.685$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers

00:13:26.685 --> 00:13:28.710 comes from Smilow Cancer Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00{:}13{:}28.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30.875$ where their Center for Gastrointestinal

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00:13:30.875 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.607$ Cancers provides patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

00:13:32.607 --> 00:13:34.429 gastric cancers a comprehensive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

00:13:34.430 --> 00:13:35.576 multidisciplinary approach to

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

00:13:35.576 --> 00:13:37.486 the treatment of their cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00:13:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.235$ including clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

00:13:40.235 --> 00:13:43.250 Smilowcancerhospital.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00:13:43.250 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.735$ There are over 16.9 million

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00{:}13{:}45.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48.681$ cancer survivors in the US and

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00:13:48.681 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.602$ over 240,000 here in Connecticut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00{:}13{:}50.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}52.154$ Completing treatment for cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00:13:52.154 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.429$ is a very exciting milestone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00:13:54.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.398$ but cancer and its treatment can

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00:13:56.398 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.490$ be a life changing experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00:13:58.490 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.510$ The return to normal activities

 $00:14:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.530$ and relationships may be difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00{:}14{:}02.597 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04.372$ and cancer survivors may face

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00:14:04.372 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.643$ other long term side effects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

00:14:06.643 --> 00:14:08.359 cancer including heart problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

00:14:08.360 --> 00:14:10.049 osteoporosis, fertility issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00:14:10.049 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.990$ and an increased risk of second cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

00:14:13.990 --> 00:14:16.440 Resources for cancer survivors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00:14:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.400$ available at federally designated

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00:14:18.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.849$ Comprehensive cancer centers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

00:14:19.850 --> 00:14:21.926 such as Yale Cancer Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

00:14:21.926 --> 00:14:24.010 and Smilow Cancer Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00{:}14{:}24.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26.015$ to keep cancer survivors well

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00{:}14{:}26.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.020$ and focused on healthy living.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00:14:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.325$ The Smilow Cancer Hospital Survivorship

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00:14:30.325 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.630$ Clinic focuses on providing guidance

 $00:14:32.696 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.880$ and direction to empower survivors to

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00{:}14{:}34.880 \to 00{:}14{:}37.189$ take steps to maximize their health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

00:14:37.190 --> 00:14:38.606 quality of life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00:14:38.606 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.550$ and longevity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00:14:39.550 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.460$ More information is available at

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

 $00:14:42.460 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.292$ yalecancercenter.org. You're listening to

NOTE Confidence: 0.780834891

00:14:44.292 --> 00:14:45.666 Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

00:14:46.220 --> 00:14:48.326 Welcome back to Yale Cancer Answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00{:}14{:}48.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}50.166$ This is doctor Anees Chagpar and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

00:14:50.166 --> 00:14:52.020 I'm joined tonight by my guests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

00:14:52.020 --> 00:14:54.960 Dr. Sanket Thakore and Kyle Bramley.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00:14:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.945$ We're talking about advances in

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00:14:56.945 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.420$ diagnosis of lung cancer in honor

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00{:}14{:}59.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01.495$ of Lung Cancer Awareness Month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00:15:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.650$ Now right before the break,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00:15:03.650 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.672$ we were talking about kind of

 $00{:}15{:}05.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.444$ the two different ways lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00:15:07.444 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.140$ cancer is often diagnosed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

00:15:09.140 --> 00:15:13.883 One is with a CT guided needle biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00:15:13.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.195$ the other is

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00{:}15{:}15.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.935$ with the bronchoscopic approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00:15:16.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.146$ Kyle, before the break

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00:15:20.146 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.629$ you were telling us that a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00{:}15{:}23.629 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}15{:}26.180$ this really depends on where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00:15:26.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.260$ tumor is located and one would

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00:15:29.260 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.390$ think that if you had a peripheral

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00:15:32.390 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.279$ lesion and you didn't want to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00{:}15{:}35.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}37.702$ a CT guided biopsy because you were

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00{:}15{:}37.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40.578$ afraid of the risk of of lung collapse,

00:15:41.528 --> 00:15:44.846 is there a way that bronchoscopy can

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00:15:44.850 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.818$ get to those lesions or is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.839727945

 $00{:}15{:}47.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.025$ completely not amenable given the

00:15:50.025 --> 00:15:52.797 fact that it's not centrally located?

 $00:15:53.170 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.050$ That's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:15:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.455$ So traditionally, we were very

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:15:55.455 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.760$ limited by the tools that we had to

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:15:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.884$ bronchoscopically work ourselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

00:15:58.884 --> 00:16:00.888 out into the periphery of the lung.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00{:}16{:}00.890 \longrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.466$ We were using a lot of electromagnetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:16:03.466 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.497$ navigation where we essentially put

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00{:}16{:}05.497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07.652$ an electromagnetic field around the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

00:16:07.652 --> 00:16:10.500 patient and then we correlate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:16:10.500 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.945$ electromagnetic field to the CAT scan that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:16:12.945 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.989$ diagnosed the nodule and then we would

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:16:14.990 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.664$ essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00{:}16{:}15.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18.023$ use a computer to make a GPS

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:16:18.023 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.083$ like signal that would allow us

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:16:20.083 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.220$ to drive out into the lungs.

00:16:22.220 --> 00:16:24.386 And that increased our yield some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00{:}16{:}24.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}27.054$ but we were still very limited by the tools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:16:27.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.842$ by the size of the instruments

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:16:28.842 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.384$ and also by our ability to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:16:31.384 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.254$ small adjustments in our navigation

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:16:33.254 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.620$ when we drove out to that nodule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:16:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.245$ One of the biggest advances

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:16:37.245 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.870$ for our field as interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

00:16:38.928 --> 00:16:40.476 pulmonologists and NOTE Confidence:

0.858700948

 $00:16:40.476 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.192$ the people interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00{:}16{:}43.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45.946$ thoracic cancers in general has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:16:45.946 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.530$ the new tool that we've all started using,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:16:50.530 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.090$ which is the robotic bronchoscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:16:53.090 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.742$ And so it's different than a regular

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00{:}16{:}54.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56.161$ bronchoscopy in that I'm not standing

00:16:56.161 --> 00:16:57.487 there driving it with my hands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00{:}16{:}57.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00.618$ There's actually a robot arm that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

00:17:00.618 --> 00:17:02.430 will drive out into the periphery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:17:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.460$ It has the advantage of its much

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:17:04.460 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.749$ smaller than our standard bronchoscopes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

00:17:06.750 --> 00:17:08.694 It's also much stiffer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:17:08.694 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.758$ much more navigable into the airways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

00:17:10.758 --> 00:17:12.996 And so we can drive out much further

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00{:}17{:}12.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.907$ into the airways than we used to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:17:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.059$ We were also very limited by our

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00{:}17{:}17.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19.065$ ability to biopsy things that didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

00:17:19.065 --> 00:17:21.093 have an airway that went directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

00:17:21.093 --> 00:17:23.095 to them in the past and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00{:}17{:}23.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.546$ the robotic bronchoscopy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:17:24.546 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.956$ we can essentially know where

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:17:26.956 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.700$ we are in space,

 $00:17:28.700 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.052$ drive out to the lesion or

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:17:31.052 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.806$ next to the lesion and now pass

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00{:}17{:}33.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.474$ instruments across the airway

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:17:35.474 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.976$ wall into the lung tissue itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:17:37.980 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.550$ So it's a very new

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:17:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.358$ instrument that we're using,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:17:43.358 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.166$ but the preliminary literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:17:45.166 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.343$ suggests that the diagnostic yield

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:17:47.343 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.353$ is much higher and certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:17:49.353 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.220$ approaching the diagnostic rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

00:17:51.220 --> 00:17:54.110 that we've classically seen with

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:17:54.110 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.422$ Transthoracic CT guided biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00{:}17{:}56.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58.545$ One of the things that we can do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

00:17:58.545 --> 00:18:01.019 now that we can actually make small

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

00:18:01.019 --> 00:18:03.196 changes because the catheter is much

 $00:18:03.196 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.188$ stiffer and more easy to navigate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00{:}18{:}05.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06.705$ We can actually incorporate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:18:06.705 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.690$ with a live image guidance as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:18:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.562$ And so we can actually take a CT scan

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:18:10.562 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.619$ while the patient is having a bronchoscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:18:12.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.258$ Make sure that we're in the lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

00:18:14.260 --> 00:18:16.090 Make sure that we're getting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:18:16.090 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.124$ sample and can make small adjustments

NOTE Confidence: 0.858700948

 $00:18:18.124 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.368$ if we're not inside the lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702097341

 $00:18:20.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.880$ So Sanket, that sounds really

NOTE Confidence: 0.702097341

 $00:18:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.148$ quite great that you'd be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.702097341

00:18:26.148 --> 00:18:28.399 get a higher diagnostic yield.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702097341

 $00:18:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.380$ But it also sounds like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702097341

 $00:18:31.380 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.100$ especially if you're taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.702097341

 $00:18:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.843$ these stiffer tubes and going

NOTE Confidence: 0.702097341

 $00:18:36.843 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.148$ across the actual parenchyma or

 $00:18:39.148 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.658$ the actual tissue of the lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702097341

 $00:18:41.660 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.180$ that you might actually see

NOTE Confidence: 0.702097341

 $00:18:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.396$ higher rates of bleeding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702097341

00:18:44.400 --> 00:18:47.872 So have have you seen an increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.702097341

 $00:18:47.872 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.559$ complication rates with robotics as well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.804151932

00:18:51.410 --> 00:18:53.360 No. In fact, if anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804151932

 $00:18:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.468$ the risk of bleeding is less than

NOTE Confidence: 0.804151932

 $00:18:56.468 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.282$ the traditional bronchoscopy because

NOTE Confidence: 0.804151932

 $00:18:58.282 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.322$ in general when those nodules

NOTE Confidence: 0.804151932

 $00:19:00.322 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.888$ are in a peripheral of the lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804151932

 $00:19:02.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.890$ your vessels starts to get smaller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804151932

 $00:19:05.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.690$ So that kind of decreases

NOTE Confidence: 0.804151932

 $00:19:07.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.490$ the risk of the bleeding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804151932

 $00:19:09.490 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.378$ And I will add to that, one

NOTE Confidence: 0.804151932

 $00:19:11.378 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.532$ of the other advantage of the

00:19:13.532 --> 00:19:15.148 bronchoscopic biopsy is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.804151932

 $00{:}19{:}15.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17.010$ if someone was going to bleed

NOTE Confidence: 0.804151932

 $00:19:17.010 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.840$ you're already in the airways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804151932

 $00:19:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.240$ so you can kind of fix it

NOTE Confidence: 0.804151932

 $00:19:20.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.190$ right then and there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855525690434783

 $00:19:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.648$ By fix it you mean that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.855525690434783

 $00{:}19{:}23.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25.787$ coagulate the vessels on the inside

NOTE Confidence: 0.855525690434783

 $00:19:25.787 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.039$ because you have the tools to do that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.756751001428571

 $00:19:28.850 \dashrightarrow 00:19:31.370$ Correct. And we can tamponade that area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.756751001428571

 $00:19:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.740$ So they would not have any

NOTE Confidence: 0.756751001428571

 $00:19:33.740 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.627$ complications from that bleeding, that

NOTE Confidence: 0.756751001428571

00:19:35.627 --> 00:19:37.469 takes care of the stopping part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.756751001428571

 $00:19:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.844$ but we help them not

NOTE Confidence: 0.756751001428571

 $00{:}19{:}40.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42.290$ develop any complications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852772076

 $00:19:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.638$ And so Kyle, it sounds like

NOTE Confidence: 0.852772076

 $00:19:45.638 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.630$ this is new technology.

 $00:19:47.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.725$ Is this widely available and

NOTE Confidence: 0.852772076

00:19:49.725 --> 00:19:51.820 is it covered by insurance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.813146318461539

 $00:19:51.990 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.158$ Bronchoscopic biopsies in

NOTE Confidence: 0.813146318461539

00:19:54.158 --> 00:19:56.868 truth have always been covered

NOTE Confidence: 0.813146318461539

 $00:19:56.868 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.108$ by most insurances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813146318461539

00:19:59.110 --> 00:20:00.220 Essentially all insurances,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813146318461539

 $00:20:00.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.700$ they certainly want patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.813146318461539

 $00:20:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.587$ to get their lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.813146318461539

 $00{:}20{:}03.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05.019$ cancer diagnosed and treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82563282

 $00:20:06.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.520$ The other part of the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82563282

 $00:20:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.590$ is it widely available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82563282

00:20:10.590 --> 00:20:12.480 I mean one would think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82563282

 $00{:}20{:}12.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.350$ bronchoscopy is pretty widely available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82563282

 $00{:}20{:}14.350 \to 00{:}20{:}16.726$ I think most most people know

NOTE Confidence: 0.82563282

 $00:20:16.726 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.856$ that their pulmonary doctor

00:20:18.856 --> 00:20:21.628 can can do bronchoscopy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82563282

 $00:20:21.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.126$ but this whole concept of adding

NOTE Confidence: 0.82563282

 $00:20:24.126 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.150$ a robot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82563282

 $00:20:26.150 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.628$ it sounds like that's a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.82563282

 $00:20:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.065$ avant-garde and may not be

NOTE Confidence: 0.82563282

 $00:20:31.065 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.500$ necessarily available at

NOTE Confidence: 0.82563282

 $00{:}20{:}33.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36.360$ the local pulmonologist.

 $00:20:40.454 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.320$ Is that right or is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.82563282

 $00:20:42.384 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.499$ something that is more ubiquitous?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81272729

 $00:20:44.790 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.009$ No. At the current time it's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.81272729

 $00{:}20{:}47.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}48.954$ centralized around large hospitals

NOTE Confidence: 0.81272729

 $00:20:48.954 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.384$ and large academic centers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81272729

 $00:20:51.384 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.429$ certainly large hospital systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81272729

 $00{:}20{:}53.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55.302$ It's certainly not a procedure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81272729

 $00:20:55.302 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.186$ at least I don't think will be widely

NOTE Confidence: 0.81272729

00:20:58.186 --> 00:20:59.916 adopted by pulmonologists universally.

 $00:20:59.916 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.192$ It really does require some extra

NOTE Confidence: 0.81272729

 $00:21:03.192 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.970$ training and expertise and obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.81272729

 $00{:}21{:}05.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09.390$ the bronchoscopic skills to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81272729

 $00:21:09.390 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.540$ There's definitely a learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.81272729

 $00{:}21{:}12.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.106$ curve associated with it and so I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.81272729

 $00:21:15.106 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.876$ think it will be universally adopted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81272729

 $00:21:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.924$ And so it's really just in large

NOTE Confidence: 0.81272729

00:21:18.924 --> 00:21:20.070 hospital systems right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

00:21:21.520 --> 00:21:22.780 And second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

 $00:21:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.796$ when we think about robotics

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

 $00:21:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.880$ it certainly has started to really make

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

 $00:21:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.399$ its foray into the surgical subspecialty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

 $00:21:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.585$ So certainly we've talked on

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

 $00{:}21{:}33.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36.349$ this show about how robotics have

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

 $00:21:36.349 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.839$ entered the operating room for

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

 $00{:}21{:}38.839 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}40.831$ cancers like prostate cancer,

 $00:21:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.384$ gynecologic cancers, et cetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

00:21:43.384 --> 00:21:47.676 But that technology has a cost, right.

 $00:21:51.010 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.888$ I realize that this is newer

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

00:21:52.888 --> 00:21:55.000 technology in terms of bronchoscopy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

 $00:21:55.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.248$ but have people looked at the cost of

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

 $00:21:58.248 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.395$ robotic bronchoscopy and compared it

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

 $00:22:00.395 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.915$ to standard bronchoscopy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

00:22:02.920 --> 00:22:06.454 A cost effectiveness analysis to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

 $00{:}22{:}06.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}10.389$ whether or not this actually does

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

00:22:10.390 --> 00:22:14.358 add value and if it is more expensive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

 $00:22:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.416$ who bears the brunt of that cost?

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

 $00:22:17.420 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.852$ Is it the patient or is that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

 $00:22:19.852 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.360$ something that is being covered by insurance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.691018506

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:22:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.980$ Yeah. So when we we are comparing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:22:31.980 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.419$ robotic with the traditional bronchoscopy,

 $00:22:35.420 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.780$ I would also add that the navigational

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:22:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.098$ bronchoscopy part of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:22:41.100 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.756$ already has been there for several years now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:22:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.448$ It was just not as good as

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:22:46.448 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.600$ the robotic bronchoscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

00:22:47.600 --> 00:22:49.988 So when you think about switching

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00{:}22{:}49.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51.580$ from the traditional approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:22:51.644 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.360$ to the robotic bronchoscopy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00{:}22{:}53.360 {\: -->\:} 00{:}22{:}56.020$ yes, a hospital has to

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

00:22:56.020 --> 00:22:57.956 make some investment up front

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00{:}22{:}57.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00.110$ to get this kind of technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:23:00.110 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.528$ But the cause that drips down

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:23:02.528 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.880$ to the patient that has not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:23:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.945$ it's not going to change compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:23:06.945 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.752$ to what we are already doing with

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00{:}23{:}09.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}11.147$ the navigational bronchoscopy.

 $00:23:11.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.106$ So that's an important part to note.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:23:14.106 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.134$ The second part to that question

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:23:16.134 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.467$ is that is it adding any value?

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

00:23:18.470 --> 00:23:21.152 And I do think that it does add

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:23:21.152 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.488$ value in two ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:23:23.490 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.740$ You're going to improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:23:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.090$ the diagnostic success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769 00:23:27.090 --> 00:23:27.930 And two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:23:27.930 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.870$ when we do the robotic bronchoscopy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00{:}23{:}30.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}36.288$ it also allows us to do a second procedure

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:23:36.288 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.050$ what we call endobronchial ultrasound,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:23:39.050 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.990$ which is really important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00{:}23{:}40.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42.542$ those lung cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:23:42.550 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.172$ Because that allows us to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:23:45.172 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.899$ samples of those lymph nodes in

 $00:23:47.899 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.134$ the chest, in the mediastinum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:23:50.140 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.660$ which helps us with the lung cancer staging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

00:23:52.660 --> 00:23:54.645 Because when we think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

00:23:54.645 --> 00:23:55.836 lung cancer diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:23:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.690$ we're thinking about the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:23:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.400$ and staging simultaneously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:24:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.058$ Those are not two separate things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:24:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.136$ When you look at national data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:24:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.908$ every time a person who has a nodule

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

00:24:07.908 --> 00:24:11.038 that is suspected to be a lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:24:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.504$ the number of biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:24:12.504 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.170$ that you do on separate days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:24:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.588$ that delays their care by on

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:24:17.588 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.710$ an average about 17 days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

00:24:19.710 --> 00:24:24.390 So what you want to focus on is to not

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00{:}24{:}24.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26.750$ just improve the diagnostic success,

 $00:24:26.750 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.778$ but you also want to minimize

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:24:28.778 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.347$ the number of boxes that they go

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00{:}24{:}31.347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}33.247$ through on a separate occasion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

00:24:33.250 --> 00:24:35.637 Because what that's going to allow you

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

00:24:35.637 --> 00:24:38.410 is to not just finish the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:24:38.410 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.924$ but also the lung cancer staging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:24:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.498$ You're going to get them all the information

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:24:43.500 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.684$ that you need sooner and they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00{:}24{:}45.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47.790$ get the therapy sooner and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.798083749230769

 $00:24:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.998$ the real value of this technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867008407142857

00:24:51.150 --> 00:24:53.068 And so Kyle picking up on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867008407142857

00:24:53.070 --> 00:24:55.968 I mean before the robot came

NOTE Confidence: 0.867008407142857

00:24:55.968 --> 00:24:58.850 along in terms of staging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867008407142857

 $00:24:58.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.048$ was that done on a different day

NOTE Confidence: 0.867008407142857

00:25:01.048 --> 00:25:03.149 because a different test was required?

00:25:03.150 --> 00:25:05.635 In other words, you would need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.867008407142857

 $00{:}25{:}05.635 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}25{:}07.745$ do a mediastinoscopy or something

NOTE Confidence: 0.867008407142857

 $00:25:07.745 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.185$ different than a standard bronchoscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:25:10.970 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.790$ Yeah, in patients who we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:25:13.790 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.848$ any concern that the cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00{:}25{:}15.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17.922$ may have spread to the lymph nodes

 $00{:}25{:}19.620 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}25{:}20.764$ they need their mediastinum staged,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:25:20.764 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.480$ and there's two ways to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:25:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.180$ One is with a mediastinoscopy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00{:}25{:}24.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.329$ which is an older technique that's still

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00{:}25{:}26.329 \to 00{:}25{:}29.177$ in use for patients who need confirmation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00{:}25{:}29.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31.882$ But because we can do it minimally

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:25:31.882 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.477$ invasive with endobronchial ultrasound

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00{:}25{:}33.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.715$ as Sanket was talking about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:25:35.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.750$ that's really become the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:25:37.750 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.374$ choice for mediastinal staging.

 $00:25:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.851$ And so we have lots of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:25:41.851 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.720$ who undergo that procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

00:25:43.720 --> 00:25:45.932 But if a diagnosis is not made, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:25:45.932 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.788$ it's good news because it means that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:25:47.788 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.517$ cancer hasn't spread to the lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:25:49.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.224$ But a lot of those patients end up

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:25:51.224 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.035$ going on for a second test to get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:25:53.035 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.808$ biopsy of the actual nodule if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:25:54.808 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.542$ needed that before they underwent their

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:25:56.550 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.670$ definitive treatment for their cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:25:58.670 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.866$ And so in terms of cost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:26:00.870 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.456$ certainly there's a time cost

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:26:03.456 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.652$ that's going to be improved by

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00{:}26{:}06.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}09.784$ having two procedures done at once,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

00:26:09.790 --> 00:26:12.364 but also the just the cost of the procedures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

00:26:12.370 --> 00:26:13.834 I think too having two procedures

 $00:26:13.834 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.019$ is going to be more expensive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:26:16.019 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.563$ especially if there's complications

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

00:26:17.563 --> 00:26:19.296 from those procedures then that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.774864176666667

 $00:26:19.296 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.856$ going to add to the patients healthcare costs.

 $00:26:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.412$ Sanket, where do you see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.848135956666667

 $00:26:27.412 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.189$ field going now that you have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.848135956666667

 $00:26:30.189 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.548$ robot and this ability to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.848135956666667

00:26:33.548 --> 00:26:35.798 a bronchial ultrasound and biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.848135956666667

 $00:26:35.798 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.460$ these lymph nodes at the same time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.848135956666667

 $00:26:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.145$ It sounds like that certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.848135956666667

 $00:26:40.145 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.984$ has been one of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848135956666667

 $00:26:41.984 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.700$ if not the major advance in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.848135956666667

 $00:26:44.787 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.457$ of diagnosis of lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848135956666667

 $00{:}26{:}47.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.623$ Are there other things coming down on

NOTE Confidence: 0.848135956666667

00:26:49.623 --> 00:26:51.352 the horizon that you're particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.848135956666667

 $00:26:51.352 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.935$ excited about in terms of lung cancer?

 $00:26:56.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.178$ Yes. So there there are a lot of things

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00{:}27{:}00.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}03.166$ coming down the pipeline I would think and

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:27:03.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.655$ our expertise is more on the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

00:27:05.655 --> 00:27:08.640 part of that, but on the therapeutic part

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

00:27:08.640 --> 00:27:11.386 a lot of exciting development coming up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:27:11.386 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.396$ down the pipeline as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:27:13.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.580$ In terms of newer targeted therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:27:16.580 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.472$ there are new targeted therapies every

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00{:}27{:}19.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.740$ other month when you look up literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:27:22.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.489$ So those things going to continue to improve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

00:27:25.490 --> 00:27:27.830 When we focus on the robotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:27:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.390$ bronchoscopy and all generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:27:29.455 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.165$ we're thinking about the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00{:}27{:}31.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33.180$ stage diagnosis and one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:27:33.180 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.455$ important things that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:27:35.455 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.441$ need to focus on moving forward

 $00:27:37.441 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.258$ would be how can we cut down on

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00{:}27{:}40.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.830$ the time from the nodule was found

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:27:42.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.190$ to the time when we treat them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:27:46.190 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.462$ And I think that's going to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:27:49.462 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.747$ significant impact on an outcome here

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:27:51.747 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.350$ and try to get that cancer early

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

00:27:54.350 --> 00:27:56.990 because we are focusing on something

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:27:56.990 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.641$ called a stage shift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

00:27:58.641 --> 00:28:01.289 We know that when lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:28:01.289 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.526$ is diagnosed in a late stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:28:03.530 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.163$ it has an outcome and

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00{:}28{:}07.163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09.699$ identified survival under 10%

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00{:}28{:}09.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.496$ compared to when you diagnose lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:28:12.496 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.359$ cancer early in stage one or two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:28:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.080$ then that survival is

 $00:28:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.448$ well above 70%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:28:20.448 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.680$ So that's our focus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:28:21.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.060$ We want to diagnose them as early

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:28:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.505$ as possible so that we can treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.43032807

 $00:28:26.505 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.195$ them as well as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876905785333333

 $00{:}28{:}28.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}30.860$ Doctor Sanket Thakore is an instructor

NOTE Confidence: 0.876905785333333

00:28:30.860 --> 00:28:32.837 of medicine and doctor Kyle Bramley

NOTE Confidence: 0.876905785333333

 $00:28:32.837 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.691$ is an assistant professor of medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.876905785333333

 $00:28:34.691 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.535$ in the Department of Interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.876905785333333

 $00{:}28{:}36.535 \to 00{:}28{:}39.265$ Pulmonology at the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876905785333333

00:28:39.270 --> 00:28:41.258 If you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876905785333333

00:28:41.258 --> 00:28:43.196 the address is canceranswers@yale.edu,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876905785333333

 $00:28:43.196 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.872$ and past editions of the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.876905785333333

00:28:45.872 --> 00:28:48.198 are available in audio and written

NOTE Confidence: 0.876905785333333

00:28:48.198 --> 00:28:49.113 form at yalecancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876905785333333

 $00{:}28{:}49.113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51.537$ We hope you'll join us next week to

 $00:28:51.537 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.380$ learn more about the fight against

NOTE Confidence: 0.876905785333333

 $00{:}28{:}53.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55.210$ cancer here on Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876905785333333

 $00{:}28{:}55.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57.670$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.876905785333333

 $00{:}28{:}57.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00.000$ provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.